## QUARTERLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION As of March 31, 2015

Department	: STATE UNIVERSITIES & COLLEGES	X	Current Year Appropriations
Agency	: SOUTHERN LEYTE STATE UNIVERSITY	х	Supplemental Appropriations
Operating Unit	:	х	Continuing Appropriations
Organization Code (UACS)	: 08 081 00 00000	<u> </u>	Off-Budget Account

			Ph	ysical T	argets		Р	hysical	Physical Accomplishments					
Particulars	UACS CODE	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	Varian ce	Remarks	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	2=(8+9+10+1	13	14	
art A														
Operations														
MFO 1 - Higher Education Services	26400 3010100 000													
Performance Indicator (Set 1)														
Quantity														
Total number of graduates		1,250				1,250	1,433				1,433	183		
Quality														
Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses		85%				85%	98%				98%	13%		
Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC														
graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines					60%	60%								
Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP														
accredited at :														
Level 1					43%	43%								
Level 2					27%	27%								
Level 3					17%	17%								
Level 4					17%	17%								
Timeliness														
Percentage of total graduates who finished academic														
programs according to the prescribed timeframe					85%	85%								
MFO 2 - Advanced Education Services	26400 3020100 000													
Performance Indicator (Set 1)														
Quantity														
Total number of graduates		110				110	84				84	(26)		
Quality														
Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6														
months of graduation					78%	78%								
Timeliness														
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education														
delivery/supervision as good or better					85%	85%								

			Ph	ysical T	argets		Р	Physical Accomplishments				Varian	
Particulars	UACS CODE	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	ce	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	2=(8+9+10+11	13	14

Performance Indicator (Set 1)	MFO 3 - Research Services	26700 3030100 000								
Number of research studies completed (last 3 years)   80 80 80	Performance Indicator (Set 1)									
Percentage of research outputs presented in local, regional, national or international fora a Quality. Timeliness:  Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe  MFO 4 - Technical Advisory Extension Services  Performance indicator (Set 1)  Quantity  Number of persons provided with technical advice Quality  Percentage of trainess who rate the training course as good or better  Percentage of trainess who rate the advisory services as good or better  Timeliness  Percentage of the international foral persons who rate the delivery as good or better  II. Project continue down in the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations  RKRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Program Budgeting: Education Program  MFO 1 - Higher Education Services  Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quantity  Total number of graduates that are in priority courses  Average passing percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  Average passing percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/halonal are passing across all disciplines  Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/halonal ave, passing across all disciplines  Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1  Level 2  27% 27%	, ,									
Percentage of research outputs presented in local, regional, national or international fora a Quality. Timeliness:  Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe  MFO 4 - Technical Advisory Extension Services  Performance indicator (Set 1)  Quantity  Number of persons provided with technical advice Quality  Percentage of trainess who rate the training course as good or better  Percentage of trainess who rate the advisory services as good or better  Timeliness  Percentage of the international foral persons who rate the delivery as good or better  II. Project continue down in the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations  RKRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Program Budgeting: Education Program  MFO 1 - Higher Education Services  Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quantity  Total number of graduates that are in priority courses  Average passing percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  Average passing percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/halonal are passing across all disciplines  Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/halonal ave, passing across all disciplines  Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1  Level 2  27% 27%	Number of research studies completed (last 3 years)			80	80					
national or international fora Quality / Timeliness :  Percentage of presents projects completed within the original project immarane indicator (Set 1) Quantity Number of persons provided with technical advice Quality Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services as good or better Timeliness Percentage of persons who rate timeliness of service delivery as as good or better II. Project continue down to the last target III. Automatic Appropriations III. Automatic Appropriations III. Automatic Appropriations III. Project continue down to the last target III. Automatic Appropriations III. Project III. Continue down to the last target III. Automatic Appropriations III. Project III. Continue down to the last target III. Automatic Appropriations III. Project III. Continue down to the last target III. Automatic Appropriations III. Project III. Automatic Appropriations III. Automatic Appropriations III. Project III. Automatic Appropriations III. Automatic Appro										
Quality / Timeliness:				80%	80%					
Percentage of research projects completed within the original project timeframe (anginal project timef										
original project timeframe  MFO 4 - Technical Advisory Extension Services  Performance indicator (Set 1)  Quantity Number of persons provided with technical advice Quality Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better Timeliness Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better  III. Project confine down to rate the fast target III. Automatic Appropriations  RRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Poor and Vulnerable Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quantity Total number of graduates that are in priority courses Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates factor and the set of the s	Percentage of research projects completed within the									
MFD 4 - Technical Advisory Extension Services   28500 3040100 000				88%	88%					
Performance Indicator (Set 1)  Quantity Number of persons provided with technical advice Quality Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better Timelinees Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better  Ill. Project continue down to the last larget Ill. Automatic Appropriation Supposer Parts Major Programs/Projects KRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Poor and Vulnerable Program Budgeting: Education Program MFO1 - Higher Education Froyres Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quantity Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines Percentage of lal programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1 Level 2 27% 27% 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 110000 1100000 1100000 1100000 1100000 11000000		26500 3040100 000								
Quantity Number of persons provided with technical advice Quality Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better Recentage of persons who rate the advisory services as good or better Timeliness Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better Recentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better Recentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better Recentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better Reprosentation of the last target Recentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better Reprosentation of the last target Recentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better Reprosentation of the last target last target last target last last last last last last last las										
Number of persons provided with technical advice Quality Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better Timeliness Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better II. Project: continue down to the last larget III. Automatic Appropriations General Projects Najor Programs/Projects KRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Poor and Vulnerable Program Budgeting: Education Program MFO 1 - Higher Education Program MFO 1 - Higher Education Services Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quanity Total number of graduates that are in priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave, passing across all disciplines Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 2 Level 2 27% 27%										
Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better Timeliness Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better II. Project: continue down to the last target III. Automatic Appropriations Part B Major Programs/Projects KRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Poor and Vulnerable Program Budgeting: Education Program MFO 1 - Higher Education Services Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quantity Total number of graduates Quality Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/faitorial are case in sciplines Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1 Level 2  Z7% Z7%	Number of persons provided with technical advice			11000	11000					
Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as good or better  Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better  Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better  Timeliness  Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better  II. Project: continue down to the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Suppose of the continue down to the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Suppose of the continue down to the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Suppose of the continue down to the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Suppose of the continue down to the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Suppose of the continue down to the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Suppose of the continue down to the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Suppose of the continue down to the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Suppose of the last target										
Qood or better										
Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as good or better  Timeliness Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better 80% 80% 80%  II. Project: continue down to the last target III. Automatic Appropriations Personar received and Universible Part B Major Programs/Projects KRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Poor and Vulnerable Program Budgeting: Education Program MFO 1 - Higher Education Services Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quantity Total number of graduates that are in priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/maloral ave, passing across all disciplines Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1 Level 2 27% 27%				90%	90%					
good or better Timeliness Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better  II. Project continue down to the last target III. Automatic Appropriations September of the programs/Projects  KRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Poor and Vulnerable Program Budgeting: Education Program  MFO 1 - Higher Education Program Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quantity Total number of graduates that are in priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/hardonal ave, passing across all disciplines Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1 Level 1 Level 2  S80% S80% S80% S80% S80% S80% S80% S80										
Timeliness Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better  II. Project: continue down to the last target III. Automatic Appropriations persons/Projects RKA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Poor and Vulnerable Program Budgeting: Education Program MFO 1 - Higher Education Services Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quantity Total number of graduates Quality Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/Antional ave, passing across all disciplines Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1 Level 2 Level 2 Level 2 Level 2  Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory 880% 80% 80%  80% 80% 80% 80% 80% 80% 80% 80% 80% 80% 80%				80%	80%					
Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better  II. Projects continue down to the last target III. Automatic Appropriations specimized and s										
Services who rate timeliness of service delivery as good or better  II. Projects continue down to the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Special release of the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Special release of the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Special release of the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Special release of the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Special release of the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Special release of the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Special release of the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Special release of the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Special release of the last target Spec										
as good or better  II. Projects continue down to the last target  III. Automatic Appropriations Special relationship of the last starget										
II. Projects continue down to the last target III. Automatic Appropriations Operative Committee Centeral Fund (Fields Specify)  Part B Major Programs/Projects  KRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Poor and Vulnerable  Program Budgeting: Education Program  MFO 1 - Higher Education Services  Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quantity  Total number of graduates Quality Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1 Level 2  III. Automatic Appropriations				80%	80%					
III. Automatic Appropriations Special Programs (Projects  KRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Poor and Vulnerable Program Budgeting: Education Program  MFO 1 - Higher Education Services Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quantity Total number of graduates Quality Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1 Level 2 Level 2  Level 2  Major Program Sudgeting:  Substitution of the substitution of	II. Projects continue down to the last target									
Part B         Major Programs/Projects           KRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Poor and Vulnerable         ————————————————————————————————————										
Major Programs/Projects         Image: Company of the programs of the programs of the program sudgeting: Education and Empowerment of the program sudgeting: Education Program sudgeting: Education Program sudgeting: Education Services         26400 3010100 000         Image: Company of the program sudgeting: Education Services         26400 3010100 000         Image: Company of the program sudgeting: Education Services         26400 3010100 000         Image: Company of the program sudgeting: Education Services         26400 3010100 000         Image: Company of the program sudgeting: Education Services         26400 3010100 000         Image: Company of the program sudgeting: Education Services         26400 3010100 000         Image: Company of the program sudgeting: Education Services         26400 3010100 000         Image: Company of the program sudgeting: Education Services         26400 3010100 000         Image: Company of the program sudgeting: Education Services         26400 3010100 000         Image: Company of the program sudgeting: Education Services         26400 3010100 000 <td></td>										
KRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the the Poor and Vulnerable  Program Budgeting: Education Program  MFO 1 - Higher Education Services  Performance Inclicator (Set 1)  Quantity  Total number of graduates  Quality  Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines  Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1  Level 2  Program Budgeting:  Budgeting: Budgetin										
the Poor and Vulnerable Program Budgeting: Education Program  MFO 1 - Higher Education Services  26400 3010100 000  Performance Indicator (Set 1)  Quantity  Total number of graduates  Quality  Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses  Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC  graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines  Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at  Level 1  Level 2  Level 3  Level 4  Level 3  Level 4  Level 3  Level 3  Level 3  Level 3  Level 3  Level 4  Level 4  Level 3  Level 4  Level 4  Level 4  Level 4  Level 4  Level 5  Level 6  Level 6  Level 6  Level 6  Level 6  Level 6  Level 7  Level 7  Level 7  Level 8  Level 8  Level 9										
Program Budgeting:         Education Program         Between the program of the progr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
MFO 1 - Higher Education Services         26400 3010100 000         Image: Company of the company of										
Performance Indicator (Set 1) Quantity Total number of graduates Quality Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1 Level 2										
Quantity1,2501,2501,433183Quality1,2501,2501,4331,433183Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses85%85%98%98%13%Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC60%60%1graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines60%60%1Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at43%43%1Level 143%43%1Level 227%27%27%		26400 3010100 000								
Total number of graduates   1,250   1,250   1,433   1,433   183	Performance Indicator (Set 1)									
Quality     Before the priority of the priority courses     85%     98%     98%     13%       Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines     60%     60%     60%       Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1     43%     43%     43%       Level 2     27%     27%     27%     27%										
Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses 85% 85% 98% 98% 13%  Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines 60% 60% 98% 13%  Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1 43% 43% 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	Total number of graduates		1,250		1,250	1,433		1,433	183	
Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at Level 1 Level 2  Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC 60% 60% 43% 43% 43% 43%										
graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines  Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at  Level 1  Level 2  G0%  60%  43%  43%  27%  27%  37%			85%		85%	98%		98%	13%	
Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at         43%         43%           Level 1         27%         27%										
Level 1     43%       Level 2     27%				60%	60%					
Level 2 27% 27%	Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at									
Level 3         17%   17%	Level 2									
	Level 3			17%	17%					

			Ph	ysical T	argets		Physical Accomplishments							
Particulars	UACS CODE	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	Total	Varian ce	Remarks	
		Quarte	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	I Otal	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	00	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	2=(8+9+10+1	13	14	
Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at														
Level 4					17%	17%								
Timeliness														
Percentage of total graduates who finished academic														

programs according to the prescribed timeframe			85%	85%					
MFO 2 - Advanced Education Services	26400 3020100 000								
Performance Indicator (Set 1)									
Quantity									
Total number of graduates		110		110	84		84	(26)	
Quality									
Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6									
months of graduation			78%	78%					
Timeliness									
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education									
delivery/supervision as good or better			85%	85%					
MFO 3 - Research Services	26700 3030100 000								
Performance Indicator (Set 1)									
Quantity:									
Number of research studies completed			80	80					
Percentage of research outputs presented in local, regional,									
national or international fora			80%	80%					
Quality / Timeliness :									
Percentage of research projects completed within the									
original project timeframe			88%	88%					
MFO 4 - Technical Advisory Extension Services	26500 3040100 000								
Performance Indicator (Set 1)									
Quantity									
Number of persons provided with technical advice			11000	11000					
Quality									
Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as									
good or better			90%	90%					
Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as									
good or better			80%	80%					
Timeliness						•			
Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory									
services who rate timeliness of service delivery									
as good or better			80%	80%					

Prepared By:

JOEL T. GUASA
Planning Services Head / Planning Officer
Date:

In coordination with:

GERALDINE A. PALER / LYLWIN G. ALCOBER Financial Services Head/ Budget Officer Date: Approved by:

PROSE IVY G. YEPES
Agency Head/ Department Secretary
Date:

## **QUARTERLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION**

As of June 30, 2015

Agency : SOUTHERN LEYTE STATE UNIVERSITY

Operating Unit :

Organization Code (UACS) : 08 081 00 00000

Х	Current Year Appropriations
х	Supplemental Appropriations
х	Continuing Appropriations
	Off-Budget Account

		Physical Targets Physical Accomplishments						Varian					
Particulars	UACS CODE	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	ce	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	2=(8+9+10+1	13	14
Part A													
I. Operations													
MFO 1 - Higher Education Services	26400 3010100 000												
Performance Indicator (Set 1)													
Quantity													
Total number of graduates		1,250				1,250	1,433				1,433	183	
Quality													
Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses		85%				85%	98%				98%	13%	
Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC													
graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines					60%	60%							
Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP													
accredited at :													
Level 1					43%								
Level 2					27%								
Level 3					17%								
Level 4					17%	17%							
Timeliness													
Percentage of total graduates who finished academic													
programs according to the prescribed timeframe					85%	85%							
MFO 2 - Advanced Education Services	26400 3020100 000												
Performance Indicator (Set 1)													
Quantity													
Total number of graduates		110				110	84				84	(26)	
Quality													
Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6													
months of graduation					78%	78%							
Timeliness													
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education													
delivery/supervision as good or better					85%	85%							<u> </u>

			Physical Targets	Physical Accomplishments	Varian	
P	articulars	UACS CODE	1st 2nd 3rd 4th	1st 2nd 3rd 4th	CO	Remarks

		Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Total	CE	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	2=(8+9+10+11	13	14
MFO 3 - Research Services	26700 3030100 000												
Performance Indicator (Set 1)													
Quantity:													
Number of research studies completed (last 3 years)					80	80		18			18	-62	
Percentage of research outputs presented in local, regional,													
national or international fora					80%	80%							
Quality / Timeliness :													
Percentage of research projects completed within the													
original project timeframe					88%	88%							
MFO 4 - Technical Advisory Extension Services	26500 3040100 000												
Performance Indicator (Set 1)													
Quantity													
Number of persons provided with technical advice					11000	11000		516			516	-10484	
Quality													
Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as													
good or better					90%	90%							
Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as													
good or better					80%	80%							
Timeliness													
Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory													
services who rate timeliness of service delivery													
as good or better					80%	80%							
II. Projects continue down to the last target													
III. Automatic Appropriations Special Account in the General Fund (Please specify)													
Special Account in the General Fund (Please specify)  Part B													
Major Programs/Projects													
KRA No. 2 - Poverty Alleviation and Empowerment of the													
the Poor and Vulnerable													
Program Budgeting: Education Program													
MFO 1 - Higher Education Services	26400 3010100 000												
Performance Indicator (Set 1)													
Quantity													
Total number of graduates		1,250				1,250	1,433				1,433	183	
Quality													
Percentage of total graduates that are in priority courses		85%				85%	98%				98%	13%	
Average passing percentage of licensure exams by the SUC			1	1									
graduates/national ave. passing across all disciplines			1	1	60%	60%							
Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at													
Level 1			1		43%	43%							
Level 2			1		27%	27%							
Level 3					17%	17%							

Particulars	UACS CODE	Physical Targets				Physical Accomplishments					Vi	
		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total	Varian ce

1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(3+4+5+6)	8	9	10	11	2=(8+9+10+1	13	14
Percentage of all programs which are AACCUP accredited at													
Level 4					17%	17%							
Timeliness													
Percentage of total graduates who finished academic													
programs according to the prescribed timeframe					85%	85%							
MFO 2 - Advanced Education Services	26400 3020100 000												
Performance Indicator (Set 1)													
Quantity													
Total number of graduates		110				110	84				84	(26)	
Quality													
Percentage of graduates engaged in employment within 6													
months of graduation					78%	78%							
Timeliness													
Percentage of students who rate timeliness of education													
delivery/supervision as good or better					85%	85%							
MFO 3 - Research Services	26700 3030100 000												
Performance Indicator (Set 1)													
Quantity:													
Number of research studies completed					80	80		18			18	-62	
Percentage of research outputs presented in local, regional,													
national or international fora					80%	80%							
Quality / Timeliness :													
Percentage of research projects completed within the													
original project timeframe					88%	88%							
MFO 4 - Technical Advisory Extension Services	26500 3040100 000												
Performance Indicator (Set 1)													
Quantity													
Number of persons provided with technical advice					11000	11000		516			516	-10484	
Quality													
Percentage of trainees who rate the training course as													
good or better					90%	90%							
Percentage of clients who rate the advisory services as													
good or better					80%	80%							
Timeliness													
Percentage of persons who receive training or advisory													
	1												
services who rate timeliness of service delivery													

Prepared By:
JOEL T. GUASA
Planning Services Head / Planning Officer
Date:

In coordination with:
GERALDINE A. PALER / LYLWIN G. ALCOBER
Financial Services Head/ Budget Officer
Date:

Approved by:
PROSE IVY G. YEPES
Agency Head/ Department Secretary
Date: